



United States
Environmental Protection
Agency

Office of Research and
Development
Washington DC 20460


Office of
Water
Washington DC 20460

EPA/625/6-91/027
Revised August 1998

Handbook

Optimizing Water Treatment Plant Performance Using the Composite Correction Program

1998 Edition



Handbook

Optimizing Water Treatment Plant Performance Using the Composite Correction Program

1998 Edition

Technical Support Center
Standards and Risk Management Division
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Office of Water
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Center for Environmental Research Information
Technology Transfer and Support Division
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Notice

This document has been reviewed in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's peer and administrative review policies and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Acknowledgments

This handbook was prepared for the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) by Process Applications, Inc. Although many individuals contributed to the preparation and review of this document, the assistance of the individuals listed below is especially acknowledged.

Major Authors:

Bob A. Hegg and Larry D. DeMers, Process Applications, Inc., Fort Collins, Colorado
Jon H. Bender, Eric M. Bissonette, and Richard J. Lieberman, U.S. EPA Office of Groundwater and Drinking Water (OGWDW) Technical Support Center (TSC), Cincinnati, Ohio

Project Managers:

James E. Smith, Jr., U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development, Technology Transfer and Support Division, National Risk Management Research Laboratory (NRMRL)
Jon H. Bender, U.S. EPA OGWDW, TSC, Cincinnati, Ohio

Reviewers:

Frank Evans, U.S. EPA, NRMRL, Cincinnati, Ohio
Julie Z. LeBlanc, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New Orleans, Louisiana
David Parker, U.S. EPA, Region IV, Atlanta, Georgia
Chuck Schwarz, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, Tyler, Texas
Jeff Robichaud, U.S. EPA, OGWDW, Washington, D.C.

Editing and Production:

M. Lynn Kelly, Process Applications, Inc., Fort Collins, Colorado

Contents

Chapter		Page
Acknowledgments		iii
List of Figures		x
List of Tables		
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Purpose		1
1.2 Background		1
1.2.1 Wastewater Treatment Compliance		1
1.2.2 Water Treatment Optimization		2
1.2.3 Broad-Scale Application of CCP Concepts		2
1.3 Scope		3
1.3.1 Update of the CCP Approach and Implementation		3
1.3.2 Support for Future Regulations		3
1.3.3 Technical Resource for the Partnership for Safe Water		4
1.3.4 Considerations for Total System Optimization		4
1.4 Using the Manual		4
1.5 References		4
2 Protection Of Public Health From Microbial Pathogens	7
2.1 Background.....		7
2.2 Waterborne Disease History		7
2.3 Relationship Between Optimized Performance and Public Health Protection		8
2.3.1 Multiple Barrier Strategy,		8
2.3.2 Basis for Optimization Goals		9
2.4 Optimization Performance Goals		10
2.4.1 Minimum Data Monitoring Requirements		10
2.4.2 Individual Sedimentation Basin Performance Goals		10
2.4.3 Individual Filter Performance Goals		10
2.4.4 Disinfection Performance Goal		11
2.5 Role of the Water Treatment Plant Staff in Public Health Protection		11
2.6 References		11
3 Assessing Composite Correction Program Application	13
3.1 Introduction.....		13
3.2 Optimization Program Experience		13
3.3 Area-Wide Optimization Model		14
3.3.1 Status Component		14
3.3.2 Evaluation Component		14
3.3.3 Follow-Up Component		14
3.3.4 Maintenance Component		14
3.4 Implementation of an Area-Wide Model		14
3.4.1 Establish Criteria to Prioritize Water Systems		16
3.4.2 Assess Water System Performance Relative to Optimization Goals		16
3.4.3 Prioritize Water Systems Based on Selected Criteria		17
3.4.4 Assess Response to Prioritized Water Systems		18
3.5 References		19

Contents (continued)

Chapter		Page
4	Comprehensive Performance Evaluation	21
4.1	Introduction	21
4.2	CPE Methodology	21
4.2.1	Assessment of Plant Performance	21
4.2.1.1	Review and Trend Charting of Plant Operating Records	21
4.2.1.2	Supplemental Data Collection	22
4.2.2	Evaluation of Major Unit Processes	25
4.2.2.1	Overview	25
4.2.2.2	Approach	27
4.2.2.3	Determining Peak Instantaneous Operating Flow	28
4.2.2.4	Rating Individual Unit Processes	29
	Flocculation	30
	Sedimentation	30
	Filtration	31
	Disinfection	32
	Post-Disinfection	32
	Pre-Disinfection	34
4.2.3	Identification and Prioritization of Performance Limiting Factors	35
4.2.3.1	Identification of Performance Limiting Factors	35
	Identification of Administrative Factors	36
	Policies	37
	Budgeting	38
	Staffing	38
	Identification of Design Factors	38
	Identification of Operational Factors	39
	Plant Flow Rate and Number of Basins in Service	39
	Chemical Dose Control	39
	Filter Control	40
	Process Control Activities	41
	Other Controls	42
	Identification of Maintenance Factors	42
	4.2.3.2 Prioritization of Performance Limiting Factors	42
4.2.4	Assessment of the Applicability of a CTA	44
4.2.5	CPE Report	44
4.3	Conducting a CPE	44
4.3.1	Overview	49
4.3.2	Initial Activities	49
4.3.2.1	Key Personnel	50
4.3.2.2	CPE Resources	50
4.3.2.3	Scheduling	50
4.3.3	On-Site Activities	51
4.3.3.1	Kick-Off Meeting	51
4.3.3.2	Plant Tour	51
	Pretreatment	52
	Mixing/Flocculation/Sedimentation	52
	Chemical Feed Facilities	53
	Filtration	53
	Disinfection	54
	Backwash Water and Sludge Treatment and Disposal	54
	Laboratory	54
	Maintenance	54

Contents (continued)

Chapter		Page
	4.3.3.3 Data Collection Activities.....	54
	4.3.3.4 Evaluation of Major Unit Processes.....	55
	4.3.3.5 Performance Assessment.....	55
	4.3.3.6 Field Evaluations.....	55
	4.3.3.7 Interviews.....	57
	4.3.3.8 Evaluation of Performance Limiting Factors.....	57
	4.3.3.9 Exit Meeting.....	58
4.4	4.3.4 CPE Report.....	60
	4.4 Case Study.....	60
	4.4.1 FacilityInformation	60
	4.4.2 PerformanceAssessment.....	61
	4.4.3 Major Unit Process Evaluation.....	61
	4.4.3.1 Flocculation Basin Evaluation.....	61
	4.4.3.2 Sedimentation Basin Evaluation.....	62
	4.4.3.3 Filter Evaluation.....	62
	4.4.3.4 Disinfection Process Evaluation.....	62
	4.4.4 Performance Limiting Factors.....	63
	4.4.5 Assessing Applicability of a CTA.....	64
	4.4.6 CPE Results.....	64
4.5	4.5 References	64
5	5 Comprehensive Technical Assistance.....	67
5.1	5.1 Objective.....	67
5.2	5.2 Conducting CTAs	68
	5.2.1 Overview.....	68
	5.2.2 Implementation	69
	5.2.2.1 Approach.....	70
	CTA Facilitator.....	70
	On-Site CTA Champion.....	71
	CTA Framework.....	71
	5.2.2.2 Tools.....	73
	Contingency Plans.....	73
	Action Plans.....	73
	Special Studies.....	73
	Operational Guidelines.....	74
	Data Collection and Interpretation.....	74
	Priority Setting Tools.....	75
	Topic Development Sheets.....	75
	Internal Support.....	76
	What If Scenarios.....	77
	5.2.2.3 Correcting Performance Limiting Factors.....	77
	Design Performance Limiting Factors.....	77
	Maintenance Performance Limiting Factors.....	78
	Administrative Performance Limiting Factors.....	78
	Operational Performance Limiting Factors.....	79
	Process Sampling and Testing.....	80
	Chemical Pretreatment and Coagulant Control.....	80
	Unit Process Controls	82

Chapter		Page
5.3	Case Study.....	85
5.3.1	CPE Findings.....	85
5.3.2	CTA Activities.....	86
5.3.2.1	Initial Site Visit.....	86
5.3.2.2	Off-Site Activities.....	87
5.3.2.3	Follow-Up Site Visit.....	87
5.3.2.4	Other CTA Activities.....	88
5.3.2.5	CTA Results.....	88
5.4	References.....	91
6	Findings From Field Work.....	93
6.1	Introduction.....	93
6.2	Results of Comprehensive Performance Evaluations.....	93
6.2.1	Major Unit Process Capability.....	93
6.2.2	Factors Limiting Performance.....	94
6.2.3	Summary of CPE Findings.....	96
6.3	Results of Comprehensive Technical Assistance Projects	97
6.4	References.....	98
7	The Future: Changing Regulations and New Optimization Challenges.....	99
7.1	Introduction.....	99
7.2	Background on M-DBP Regulations.....	99
7.3	M-DBP Requirements Relative to Optimized Performance Goals	100
7.3.1	Treatment Technique Turbidity Requirements.....	100
7.3.2	Removal/Inactivation Requirements.....	101
7.3.3	DBP Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs).....	102
7.3.4	Enhanced Coagulation Requirements.....	103
7.3.5	Microbial Backstop.....	103
7.4	Summary.....	104
7.5	References.....	105
8	Other CCP Considerations.....	107
8.1	Introduction.....	107
8.2	Developing CCP Skills.....	107
8.2.1	CPE Training Approach.....	107
8.2.2	CTA Training Approach.....	107
8.3	Quality Control.....	108
8.3.1	CPE Quality Control Guidance.....	108
8.3.2	CTA Quality Control Guidance.....	109
8.4	Total System Optimization.....	110
8.5	References.....	112

Content (continued)

Appendices		Page
Appendix A	Data Collection Spreadsheets and Macros for the Partnership for Safe Water.....	115
Appendix B	Drinking Water Treatment Plant (DWTP) Advisor Software.....	123
Appendix C	Major Unit Process Capability Evaluation Performance Potential Graph Spreadsheet Tool for the Partnership for Safe Water.....	125
Appendix D	CT Values for Inactivation of Giardia and Viruses by Free Cl ₂ and Other Disinfectants.....	135
Appendix E	Performance Limiting Factors Summary Materials and Definitions.....	145
Appendix F	Data Collection Forms.....	159
Appendix G	Example CPE Report.....	205
Appendix H	Example CPE Scheduling Letter.....	221
Appendix I	Example Special Study.....	225
Appendix J	Example Operational Guideline.....	227
Appendix K	Example Process Control Daily Report.....	231
Appendix L	Example Jar Test Guideline.....	233
Appendix M	Chemical Feed Guidelines.....	237
Appendix N	Conversion Chart.....	245

List of Figures

Chapter		Page
Figure 2-1	Multiple barrier strategy for microbial contaminant protection	9
Figure 3-1	Area-wide optimization model	15
Figure 3-2	Area-wide treatment plant performance status	16
Figure 3-3	Example turbidity monitoring data for 12-month period	18
Figure 4-1	Example performance assessment trend charts	23
Figure 4-2	Example of individual filter monitoring	25
Figure 4-3	Major unit process evaluation approach	26
Figure 4-4	Example performance potential graph	27
Figure 4-5	Major unit process rating criteria	28
Figure 4-6	Example factors summary and supporting notes	45
Figure 4-7	CPE/CTA schematic of activities	47
Figure 4-8	Schematic of CPE activities	48
Figure 4-9	Flow schematic of Plant A	60
Figure 4-10	Performance potential graph for Plant A	61
Figure 5-1	CTA results showing finished water quality improvements	67
Figure 5-2	CTA priority setting model	68
Figure 5-3	Schematic of CTA framework	72
Figure 5-4	Example action plan	73
Figure 5-5	Special study format.....	74
Figure 5-6	Short term trend chart showing relationship of raw, settled and filtered water turbidities.....	75
Figure 5-7	Example priority setting results from CTA site visit activity	76
Figure 5-8	Example topic development sheet	76
Figure 5-9	A basic process control sampling and testing schedule	81
Figure 5-10	Performance improvement during CTA project – filter effluent	89
Figure 5-11	Performance improvement during CTA project – sedimentation basin effluent	89
Figure 5-12	Performance improvement during CTA project – filter backwash spikes.....	90
Figure 5-13	Plant performance after CTA.....	90
Figure 7-1	Historic perspective of turbidity goal and regulations	101
Figure 7-2	Example of disinfection profile daily variations in log inactivation	104

List of Tables

Number		Page
Table 1-1	Information Pertinent to Specific User Groups.....	5
Table 2-1	U.S. Outbreaks of Cryptosporidiosis in Surface Water Supplies	8
Table 3-1	Example Prioritization Criteria for Surface Water Systems	17
Table 3-2	Example Prioritization Database.....	18
Table 4-1	Percentile Distribution Analysis of Water Quality Data	24
Table 4-2	Major Unit Process Evaluation Criteria.....	29
Table 4-3	Expected Removals of Giardia Cysts and Viruses by Filtration	33
Table 4-4	Factors for Determining Effective Disinfection Contact Time Based on Basin Characteristics.....	34
Table 4-5	Classification System for Prioritizing Performance Limiting Factors	43
Table 4-6	Evaluation Team Capabilities.....	49
Table 6-1	Geographical Distribution of CPEs and CTAs.....	93
Table 6-2	Summary of the Major Unit Process Ratings for 69 Plants	94
Table 6-3	Most Frequently Occurring Factors Limiting Performance at 69 CPEs	95
Table 8-1	Training Approach to Achieve Transfer of CPE Skills	108
Table 8-2	Quality Control Checklist for Completed CPEs	109
Table 8-3	Quality Control Checklist for Completed CTAs	110
Table 8-4	Total System Optimization Considerations for Drinking Water Utilities	111